

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/682,080	10/10/2003	Richard A. Wade	37354-228 9493			
7590 08/20/2004			EXAMINER			
Honeywell International Inc.			MICHALSKY, GERALD A			
101 Columbia rd. P.O. Box 2245			ART UNIT PAPER NU			
Morristown, NJ 07962-2245			3753	3753		

DATE MAILED: 08/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				:	۱۸	Å		
Office Action Summary		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)		$\Lambda$		
		10/682,0	80	WADE, RICHARD	) A.			
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
			Michalsky	3753				
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this communic	ation appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	Idress	;		
A SHORTENED THE MAILING D - Extensions of time or after SIX (6) MONTH - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received b	STATUTORY PERIOD FO PATE OF THIS COMMUNIC may be available under the provisions of all S from the mailing date of this communical respecified above is less than thirty (30) respecified above, the maximum status in the set or extended period for reply we by the Office later than three months after adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION.  f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev nication.  days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be time autory minimum of thirty (30) days ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from dication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		ication.		
Status								
1) Responsiv	e to communication(s) filed	on						
,	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
,								
closed in a	accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex par</i> te Qu	<i>layle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Clair	ns							
4a) Of the 5 5) ☐ Claim(s) _ 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) _	-20 is/are pending in the apabove claim(s) is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are allowed20 is/are rejected is/are objected to are subject to restricti	withdrawn from co						
Application Papers								
10) The drawin Applicant m Replaceme	cation is objected to by the g(s) filed on 10 October 20 hay not request that any object nt drawing sheet(s) including the declaration is objected to be	<u>03</u> is/are: a)  acc ion to the drawing(s) t he correction is requir	pe held in abeyance. See red if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.1			
Priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119							
a) All b) Cert 2. Cert 3. Cop	gment is made of a claim for Some * c) None of:  cified copies of the priority distribution of the certified copies of the copies of the certified cop	ocuments have bee ocuments have bee f the priority docume al Bureau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Application ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stag	e		
Attachment(s)	on Cited (DTO 200)		4) Intensions Summers	(PTO 442)				
3) Information Disclos	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (PT sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P late <u>13 <i>February 2004</i>.</u>		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. In claim 16, line 3, it is suggested that the second occurrence of "a" be cancelled.
- The drawings are objected to because the lines, numerals, and letters are poor in 2. all figures. The solid black is objected to in Figures 2-5. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In paragraph [39], line 8, the second occurrence of "to" should be changed to –too--. In paragraph [43], line 1, "depicts" should be inserted after "also".

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/682,080

Art Unit: 3753

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 3

- 5. Claims 1-4 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by any one of Hartshorne, Wolfges, or Long et al. Conduit 28 of Hartshorne is read as a "third longitudinal cavity". For example, conduit 26 of Wolfges is read as a "third longitudinal cavity". Conduit 54 of Long et al is read as a "third longitudinal cavity".
- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 5-11, 13-15, and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any one of Hartshorne, Wolfges, or Long et al in view of the acknowledged prior art of Figure 6 of the instant application. These claims are considered met by any one of Hartshorne, Wolfges, or Long et al except for a pressure sensor. It would have been obvious in view of the pressure sensor 108 of the acknowledged prior art of Figure 6 of the instant application to provide a pressure sensor to sense the pressure in the output conduit 24 of Hartshorne, the output conduit 16 of Wolfges, or the output device 18 of Long et al. One would have been motivated to provide a pressure sensor in order to better control the output pressure of the devices through means of electrical feedback. It would have been obvious to provide the

Application/Control Number: 10/682,080

Art Unit: 3753

pressure sensor in the pressure feedback chamber 41 of Hartshorne, the pressure feedback chamber 37 of Wolfges, or the pressure feedback chamber 55 of Long et al in order to avoid spurious pressure fluctuations in the main output conduit.

- 8. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Hartshorne or Wolfges in view of the acknowledged prior art of Figure 6 of the instant application as above.
- 9. Claims 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wolfges.
- 10. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 11. Claims 14-15 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. There is no antecedent basis for "the peripheral cavity" recited in line 2 of claim 14 and lines 2 and 4 of claim 15.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gerald A. Michalsky whose telephone number is (703) 308-1049. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 AM - 2 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on (703) 308-1272. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/682,080

Art Unit: 3753

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gerald A. Michalsky Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753